

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION

ON APPEAL FROM THE COUNTY COURT AT BARNSTAPLE

Claim No. L00BP152

Appeal No. KA-2026-BRS-000028

BETWEEN

MARK JOHN MAYO

Respondent

-and-

Miss E

Appellant

URGENT RENEWED REQUEST FOR TRANSFER OF APPEAL TO LONDON

To: The President of the King's Bench Division or nominee

Practice Direction 52B, paragraph 2.3

1. I renew my request dated 12 August 2026 that this appeal be transferred from Bristol to the Royal Courts of Justice in London. The appeal has now been allocated Bristol High Court appeal number KA-2026-BRS-000028. My earlier transfer request, addressed to the President of the King's Bench Division or nominee, has not received a substantive determination. I therefore ask that this renewed request be placed before the decision-maker identified in PD52B paragraph 2.3.

2. The grounds of my original request remain. My earlier Bristol appeal, KA-2025-BRS-000045, was not substantively progressed for more than four months, including without determination of permission to appeal, and I ultimately withdrew it when the approaching trial meant that it could no longer provide an effective remedy. My separate High Court enforcement application, KB-2026-BRS-000052, was then dealt with on a procedural footing different from that upon which it had been filed.

3. Events since 12 August have materially strengthened the request. On 19 August 2026, in the separate writ-of-control matter, HHJ Blohm KC issued an Order under the reference KA-2025-BRS-000045 rather than KB-

2026-BRS-000052; purported to refuse a recusal application which I did not make as a standalone application; refused transfer to the Royal Courts of Justice; refused disclosure of the N260s underlying disputed enforced costs; redirected the remaining writ issue yet again to the Barnstaple County Court claim; and reserved the further hearing to himself. I have separately challenged that Order and am seeking independent appellate guidance.

4. The subsequent Order confirms rather than answers the concerns raised in my request of 12 August. The position has now moved beyond delay or administrative confusion. The Order of 19 August refuses disclosure of the N260 documentation at the heart of the disputed enforcement, while continuing to deal with my separately filed High Court application under the reference of an earlier concluded appeal and then redirecting the remaining issue yet again to the County Court. In my view, the cumulative circumstances raise serious questions of evidential integrity, possible dishonesty and improper conduct which require independent investigation. I do not ask the transfer decision-maker to determine those misconduct issues; I will pursue them separately through the appropriate channels, including the JCIO. I do, however, say that these developments make continued substantive determination through the same Bristol judicial allocation untenable.

5. In those circumstances, transfer to London is now urgent. It is necessary not merely to secure the appearance and actuality of independent consideration, but to prevent further procedural prejudice while the very conduct and procedural handling at Bristol which underpin this request remain in issue. I therefore request an urgent direction transferring appeal KA-2026-BRS-000028 to the Royal Courts of Justice in London. Pending determination of this request, I ask that no substantive step be taken in Bristol which could prejudice the appeal or render the transfer request ineffective. I also ask that this transfer request not be referred for determination to HHJ Blohm KC, whose handling of the earlier matters forms a substantial part of the evidence relied upon, and that I be informed in writing of the identity or capacity of the person determining the request and of the decision reached.

Documents attached: original transfer request dated 12 August 2026; HHJ Blohm KC's Order dated 19 August 2026; one-page Summary of Position dated 10 September 2026; Formal Response dated 10 September 2026.

Signed: _____ Miss E

Dated: 10 September 2026